UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,081	01/07/2002	Jonathan D. Levine	D/A1202;690-010417-US 2426 (PA	
Clarence A. Gr	7590 09/06/2007 Clarence A. Green		EXAM	INER
Perman & Green, LLP, 425 Post Road			PAULA, CESAR B	
Fairfield, CT 0	6430		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/041,081	LEVINE, JONATHAN D.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CESAR B. PAULA	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		554455		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ant canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	•		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a Ni	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
	•				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
• •	•	CESAR B PAULA Primary Examiner			
		Art Unit: 2178			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding Applicant's remarks concerning claim 1, that the Dodge reference was not necessitated by the prior amendments (p.9, last parag.) The Examiner disagrees, because Dodge was introduced due to the amendment filed on 4/18/07 (not previously submitted), wherein the book file having codes devoid of particulars unique to the needs of the originator(lim.b).

The Applicant futher states that the combination of Warmus and Dodge does not teach the amended claims (p.11. The amendment requires a new search and/or consideration to determine whether the changed scope of the amended claims is cover by Warmus and Dodge.

There were portions remaining from previous action, that was accidentally left in the action. However, the claim language is still taught by Warmus' formats native to the originator's computer formats, such as pc computer, Word, etc. (col.10, lines 45-67, col.7, lines 34-54, col.11, lines 1-10).

Moreover, the Applicant states that Dodge does not teach the conversion of the book file into a solution-independent file (page 13, parag.4-5). The Examiner disagrees, because Dodge teaches the creation of a platform or solution independent file from a document(s) in a specific format as recited in the claims (col.4, lines 7-28, col.6, lines 20-67).

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINER